Tetrahedron Letters No. 2, pp. 37-41, 1962. Pergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain.

 $(CH_3)_2NSO_2N(CH_3)_2$ as a model for a-sulfonyl carbanions

Truman Jordan, Warren Smith and William N. Lipscomb Department of Chemistry, Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

(Received 8 November 1961; in revised form 6 January 1962)

RECENT research on a-sulfonyl carbanions indicates an interesting retention of configuration. This retention is illustrated by the fact that a C atom a to the sulfone group at an optically active center undergoes deuteriumhydrogen exchange at a much faster rate than racemization.^{1,2,3} These results have been explained in terms of the Case II geometry⁴ which does not allow a plane of symmetry in the molecule.² The hybridization of the C_a^- has been interpreted in terms of a modification of sp³ but whether it is in fact closer to sp³ or to sp² is not yet known.² The comparable amounts of D-H exchange in cyclopropyl- and isopropyl-phenyl sulfones has led to the suggestion that an intermediate hybridization is present.⁵ On the other hand the S-N ... O hydrogen bond angle of 111^o about N in sulfonamide⁶ is suggestive of nearly sp³ hybridization of the C_a^- if the H atom is assumed to lie on the N ... O line. With these results in mind, we have carried out a crystallographic structure determination of (CH₃)₂NSO₂N(CH₃)₂,

¹ D.J. Cram, W.D. Nielson and B. Rickborn, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u> <u>82</u>, 6415 (1960).

² E.J. Corey and E.T. Kaiser, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u> <u>83</u>, 490 (1961).

³ D.J. Cram, D.A. Scott and W.D. Nielson, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u> <u>83</u>, 3696 (1961)

⁴ H.P. Koch and W.E. Moffitt, <u>Trans. Faraday Soc.</u> <u>47</u>, 7 (1951).

⁵ H.E. Zimmerman and B.S. Thyagarjan, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82</u>, 2505 (1960).

⁶ K.N. Trueblood and S.W. Mayer, <u>Acta Cryst. 9</u>, 628 (1956).

$$(CH_3)_2NSO_2N(CH_3)_2$$
 as a-sulfonyl carbanion model

38

which is similar to the a-sulfonyl carbanions in the regions of interest relating to stereochemistry and bonding. We hoped to contribute to the unanswered questions of intramolecular steric effects, of hybridization of the C_a^- which could be sp^2 , sp^3 , or some intermediate value, and of the extent to which the lone pair orbital on the C_a^- conjugates with the d-orbitals of sulfur.





The $(CH_3)_2NSO_2N(CH_3)_2$ molecule. Molecular parameters are $S_1^{-O_2} = 1.449$, $S_1^{-O_3} = 1.441$, $S_1^{-N_4} = 1.623$, $N_4^{-C_5} = 1.480$, $N_4^{-C_6} = 1.471$ A, $C_5N_4C_6 = 112.9^\circ$, $C_5N_4S_1 = 117.9^\circ$, $C_6N_4S_1 = 119.7^\circ$, N_4SN_4 , = 112.6 and $O_2S_1O_3 = 119.7^\circ$. Primed atoms are related to unprimed atoms by the mirror plane of the crystal

Three dimensional data from a single crystal have yielded the structure shown in Fig. 1. There are 8 molecules in a unit cell based upon space group C_{mca} and having dimensions a = 11.76, b = 5.68 and c = 22.03 Å. The

No.2

No.2

value of $R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum_{i=1}^{n} |F_0|$ is 0.085 for the 627 observed reflections. Standard deviations are ± 0.005 Å for bonds to S, ± 0.007 Å for the CN bonds, and 0.3° to 0.4° for bond angles, but the corrections which have been made for thermal motions range from 0.009 Å to 0.027 Å. The normal to the CNC plane of the NMe₂ group and the normal to the NSN plane are 89.4° apart. The molecular geometry therefore belongs to the Case II category. Interestingly, the N atom is only 0.27 Å away from the plane of the three atoms bonded to it, in a direction which increases the N ... N separation, whereas a distance of 0.51 Å would be expected if the bonding about N were tetrahedral. Although the CNC angle is 112.9° the average SNC bond angle is 119°, substantially greater than the tetrahedral angle.

In our opinion the most reliable criterion of the state of hybridization of the N atom is the conclusion, based on a standard method⁷ in which bond angles define hybrid orbitals, that the lone pair is 93 percent p. This percentage may be compared with 100 percent if the bonds are sp^2 and with 75 percent if the bonds are sp^3 , and thus leads to an average hybridization of $sp^{2.23}$ for the bonds. Ninety-six percent of this p character, i.e. 89 percent of the lone pair, is perpendicular to the N-S bond and in the NSN plane. A very substantial amount of conjugation of this lone pair with the d-orbitals of S is therefore expected in this N analogue of the carbanions, and even more conjugation is expected in the carbanions themselves because of orbital expansion upon replacement of N by C⁻. This conclusion is supported by both the overlap calculations described below and the fact that the S-N bond distance is 1.623 Å, substantially shorter than the expected single bond distance of 1.735 Å.⁸

⁷ C.A. Coulson, V. Henri Memorial Volume, <u>Contribution à l'Étude de la</u> <u>Structure Molèculaire</u> p. 25. Desoer, Liège (1948).

⁸ Assumed radii and electronegativity corrections are 1.04 Å for S, 0.74 Å for N and -0.045 Å for SN.

In $C_{2,r}$ symmetry, overlap calculations⁹ between the best linear combination¹⁰ of the d-orbitals of the S and the lone pair on the N yield an overlap of 0.31 for the actual structure, while a similar calculation with the N configuration inverted yields a value of 0.31. Moreover, a calculation assuming the lone pair is pure p, i.e. that the configuration about N is sp^2 , gives an overlap of 0.32. The extension of these results to the analogous carbanion thus seemingly contradicts a proposal³ that under conditions of symmetric solvation the normal rate of inversion of the C_{π}^{-} is reduced because of overlap of the lone pair sp³ orbital of C with the dorbitals of S. Indeed the overlap integrals suggest that the inversion is rapid, and hence another mechanism is required to explain the observed retention of configuration. The most obvious explanation² is in terms of some kind of hindered rotation about the S-C bond in the carbanion coupled with specific attack of the replacing ion. This explanation can apply whether the carbanion is hybridized sp^3 , sp^2 , or some intermediate value. Overlap calculations with one $\rm NMe_{2}$ group rotated 45 $^{\rm O}$ and then 90 $^{\rm O}$ from the position in Figure 1 gives overlaps of 0.31 and 0.32 respectively for the observed non-planar configuration and overlaps of 0.30 and 0.32 if a planar configuration is assumed. Thus hindered rotation due primarily to multiple bonding seems unlikely though not impossible. Another likely source of hindered rotation is intramolecular steric effects. In the (CH₃)₂NSO₂N(CH₂)₂ molecule the C_6 ... C_6, and C_5 ... C_5, distances are 3.4 and 3.5 Å, respectively. If one of the $N(CH_3)_2$ groups is rotated by 90° about the N-S bond the closest non-bonded contacts are then N₂ ... C_5 , = 3.0 Å and

40

 $^{^{9}}$ Slater orbitals and experimental molecular parameters were employed in the calculations.

¹⁰ This linear combination of d-orbitals was always chosen such that its overlap S with the lone pair (ℓp) on the N was a maximum, i.e. S = ($\sum_{d} s_{\ell,p,d}^2$).¹/2

No.2

 $C_5 \ \dots \ C_5$, = $C_5 \ \dots \ C_6$, = 3.1 Å (while if both N(CH₃)₂ groups are rotated the $C_5 \ \dots \ C_5$, distance is only 2.5 Å). While most of this strain could be relieved by a co-operative rotation in which the two N(CH₃)₂ groups are approximately 90° out of phase, some small steric barrier may still remain. Hence, we conclude that the retention of configuration in the carbanion is probably due to a specific attack of the replacing ion combined with a hindered rotation about the S-C bond due to multiple bonding or steric hindrance, perhaps more predominately the latter.

We gratefully acknowledge the suggestion of this problem and helpful discussions by Professor E.J. Corey and support of this research by the National Institutes of Health.